

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Bex 1450 Alexandra Vignus 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08:07.2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
10 084,546	02 25 2002	Rebecca E. Cahoon	BB1201 US CNT	1850
23416 75	90 08 07 2003			
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ, LLP 1220 N MARKET STREET P O BOX 2207			EXAMINER	
			HUTSON, RICHARD G	
WILMINGTON	N, DE 19899		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1652	11

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/084,546	CAHOON ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Richard G Hutson	1652	
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	communicatio	n appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY P THE MAILING DATE OF THIS C - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date - If the pend for reply specified above is less	OMMUNICATI ne provisions of 37 C of this communicati- than thirty (30) days maximum statutory irriod for reply will, by ree months after the	ON. EFR 1 136(a) In no event, however, may on , a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) M statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U S C § 133)	
1) Responsive to communication	ation(s) filed or	n <u>22 May 2003</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠	This action is non-final.		
closed in accordance with		allowance except for formal n nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 (natters, prosecution as to the merits is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) <u>13-17,19-22 and</u>	The second secon			
4a) Of the above claim(s) _		indrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allow				
6) Claim(s) <u>13-15, 19-22 and 2</u>		ed.		
7) Claim(s) <u>16 and 17</u> is/are o				
8) Claim(s) are subject Application Papers	to restriction a	and/or election requirement.		
9) The specification is objected	to by the Eva	miner		
10) The drawing(s) filed on			v the Examiner	
		to the drawing(s) be held in abo		
11) The proposed drawing corre				
		I in reply to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is of				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and	1 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of		oreign priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ N	None of:			
1. Certified copies of th	e priority docu	ments have been received.		
2. Certified copies of th	e priority docu	ments have been received in	Application No	
	the Internation	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	•	
		·	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).	
a) ☐ The translation of the form	oreign languag	ge provisional application has	been received.	
Attachment(s)		, ,		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (P		18) 5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 1652

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants preliminary amendment of claim 13, 16, 17, 19, 20, 22 and 24 canceling of claims 23 and 25, Paper No. 9, 5/22/2003, is acknowledged. Claims 13-17, 19-22 and 24 are at issue and are present for examination.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, invention (A), which is directed to SEQ ID NO: 1, a sequence encoding SEQ ID NO: 2 (claims 13-17, 19-22 and 24) in Paper No. 9 is acknowledged.

Priority

Applicants amendment of the first line of the specification to state that this application is a continuation of U.S. Application No. 09/370,295, filed August 9, 1999, which claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/096,342, filed August 12, 1998 is acknowledged. U.S. Application No. 09/370,295 is now abandoned. It is suggested that the this section of the application be amended to reflect this.

Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper."

Applicants filing of information disclosures, Paper No. 6, filed 2/03/2002, is acknowledged. Those references considered have been initialed.

Art Unit: 1652

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: As discussed above, U.S. Application 09/370,295 has been abandoned and it is suggested that the priority information be updated to reflect this information.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

Claims 16 and 17 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claims 16 and 17 are each dependent on rejected claim 13.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 13-15,19-22 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for an isolated polynucleotide that encodes a polypeptide having thiamin pyrophosphokinase activity comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2, does not reasonably provide enablement for any polynucleotide which encodes a polypeptide having thiamin pyrophosphokinase activity wherein the polypeptide has a sequence identity of at least 80% when compared to the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2. The specification does not enable any person

Art Unit: 1652

skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Factors to be considered in determining whether undue experimentation is required, are summarized in In re Wands (858 F.2d 731, 8 USPQ 2nd 1400 (Fed. Cir. 1988)) as follows: (1) the quantity of experimentation necessary, (2) the amount of direction or guidance presented, (3) the presence or absence of working examples, (4) the nature of the invention, (5) the state of the prior art, (6) the relative skill of those in the art, (7) the predictability or unpredictability of the art, and (8) the breadth of the claim(s).

Claims 13-15,19-22 and 24 are so broad as to encompass any polynucleotide (or its complement) that encodes any thiamin pyrophosphokinase that has at least 80% identity to the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2 and recombinant constructs and cells comprising said polynucleotide and methods of transforming a cell with said polynucleotide (claim 24) and those polynucleotides that encodes any thiamin pyrophosphokinase that has at least 90% and 95% identity to the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 10 (claims 14 and 15).

The scope of the claims is not commensurate with the enablement provided by the disclosure with regard to the extremely large number of polynucleotides broadly encompassed by the claims, including any polynucleotide which encodes a polypeptide having thiamin pyrophosphokinase activity and having at least 80% sequence identity to the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2. The claims rejected under this section of U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, place only minor structural limits on the claimed

Art Unit: 1652

polynucleotides. Since the amino acid sequence of a protein determines its structural and functional properties, predictability of which changes can be tolerated in a protein's amino acid sequence and obtain the desired activity requires a knowledge of and guidance with regard to which amino acids in the protein's sequence, if any, are tolerant of modification and which are conserved (i.e. expectedly intolerant to modification), and detailed knowledge of the ways in which the proteins' structure relates to its function. However, in this case the disclosure is limited to that polynucleotide which encodes a polypeptide having the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2.

While recombinant and mutagenesis techniques are known, it is not routine in the art to screen for multiple substitutions or multiple modifications, as encompassed by the instant claims, and the positions within a protein's sequence where amino acid modifications can be made with a reasonable expectation of success in obtaining the desired activity/utility are limited in any protein and the result of such modifications is unpredictable. In addition, one skilled in the art would expect any tolerance to modification for a given protein to diminish with each further and additional modification, e.g. multiple substitutions.

The specification does not support the broad scope of the claims which encompass all modifications and fragments of any polynucleotide which encodes any polypeptide having thiamin pyrophosphokinase activity having the recited amino acid sequence identity, because the specification does not establish: (A) regions of the protein structure which may be modified without effecting thiamin pyrophosphokinase activity activity; (B) the general tolerance of thiamin pyrophosphokinases to modification

Art Unit: 1652

and extent of such tolerance; (C) a rational and predictable scheme for modifying any amino acid residue of a thiamin pyrophosphokinase with an expectation of obtaining the desired biological function; and (D) the specification provides insufficient guidance as to which of the essentially infinite possible choices is likely to be successful. Because of this lack of guidance, the extended experimentation that would be required to determine which substitutions would be acceptable to retain the thiamin pyrophosphokinase activity, as encoded by the polynucleotide claimed and the fact that the relationship between the sequence of a peptide and its tertiary structure (i.e. its activity) are not well understood and are not predictable (e.g., see Ngo et al. in The Protein Folding Problem and Tertiary Structure Prediction, 1994, Merz et al. (ed.), Birkhauser, Boston, MA, pp. 433 and 492-495, Ref: U, Form-892), it would require undue experimentation for one skilled in the art to arrive at the majority of those polynucleotides of the claimed genus which encode a polypeptide having the claimed thiamin pyrophosphokinase activity.

Thus, applicants have not provided sufficient guidance to enable one of ordinary skill in the art to make and use the claimed invention in a manner reasonably correlated with the scope of the claims including those polynucleotides which encode a number of amino acid modifications of the thiamin pyrophosphokinase of SEQ ID NO: 2. The scope of the claims must bear a reasonable correlation with the scope of enablement (In re Fisher, 166 USPQ 19 24 (CCPA 1970)). Without sufficient guidance, determination of those polynucleotides having the desired biological characteristics is unpredictable and the experimentation left to those skilled in the art is unnecessarily,

Application/Control Number: 10/084,546 Page 7
Art Unit: 1652

and improperly, extensive and undue. See In re Wands 858 F.2d 731, 8 USPQ2nd 1400 (Fed. Cir, 1988).

Remarks

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard G Hutson whose telephone number is (703) 308-0066. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 am to 4:00 pm, M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapu Achutamurthy can be reached on (703) 308-3804. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3014 for regular communications and (703) 305-3014 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Richard G Hutson, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1652

rgh August 5, 2003